

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/522,698 03/11/2005		03/11/2005	Sunao Kµrimura	05021/HG	9351	
1933	7590	07/11/2006		EXAMINER		
	•	ΓZ, GOODMAN &	LEE, JOHN D			
220 Fifth Av 16TH Floor	enue/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK	K, NY	10001-7708	2874			
				DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/522,6	10/522,698 KURIMURA E		L.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		John D. L	ee	2874					
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
Period for	• •			(a) a = = 1					
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum single to to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF TI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex munication. tatutory period will apply and w y will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)□ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on							
		2b)⊠ This action is r	on-final.						
3)□ S	<del>'</del>								
C	losed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte Qi</i>	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) 🗌 C	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ C	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.								
7) <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.								
8)∐ C	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election r	requirement.						
Applicatio	n Papers								
9)⊠ T	he specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on <u>27 January 2</u>	<u>2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ acc	epted or b)□ objected	I to by the Examin	er.				
A	applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	- ·		-	• •				
11)[  Ti	he oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	: Action or form P	ГО-152.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)⊠ 1	cknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority  Certified copies of the priority	documents have been	en received.						
3	<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation</li> </ul>	of the priority docum onal Bureau (PCT Ru	ents have been receive le 17.2(a)).	ed in this National	Stage				
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the cert	ified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s			🗖						
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🔯 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date <u>0405,0605</u> .		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		O-152)				

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

The four (4) sheets of drawing filed in this application on January 27, 2005, are acceptable.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following minor informalities. In the "Brief Description Of The Drawings" on pages 8-9, the drawing figures should be referred to individually, in the same manner they are labeled (i.e. "Fig. 1(a)", "Fig. 1(b)", etc.). Appropriate correction is required. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any other errors of which applicant may become aware.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by the Kurimura et al article ("Quasi-Phase-Matching Quartz Aiming At Ultraviolet Wavelength Conversion"). Kurimura et al discloses a wavelength conversion element in which a plurality of polarization inversion regions are formed in a quartz crystal substrate in a periodic manner, and light that is incident from one end of the quartz crystal substrate is subjected to a wavelength conversion by passing through the plurality of polarization inversion regions. The nature of this light propagation clearly indicates that the path through the plurality of polarization inversion regions is a waveguide path (i.e. a high refractive index path).

Application/Control Number: 10/522,698

Art Unit: 2874

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Kurimura et al article ("Quasi-Phase-Matching Quartz Aiming At Ultraviolet Wavelength Conversion") in view of U.S. Patent 5,781,670 to Deacon et al. Kurimura et al does not disclose a ridge waveguide structure in the wavelength conversion element. Deacon et al teaches that a ridge-type waveguide can be formed in a periodically polarization-inverted electro-optic substrate material (including crystalline quartz) by processes such as reactive ion etching (RIE) and other types of etching. The other types of etching would include "mechanical working". Since ridge waveguides are known in the art to better confine light, thus making a device incorporating the waveguide more optically efficient, the provision of a ridge-type waveguide structure (made as taught in Deacon et al) in the Kurimura et al wavelength conversion element would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. It would <u>not</u> have been obvious from any prior art of record to make the area around the waveguide in the Kurimura et al device into a low-refractive-index region by means of ion implantation.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,698 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

All of the prior art documents cited by applicant in the Information Disclosure Statements

filed on April 25, 2005, and June 30, 2005, have been considered and made of record. Note the

attached initialed copy of forms PTO-1449.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), the Examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR § 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the Examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning the merits of this communication should be directed to Examiner

John D. Lee at telephone number (571) 272-2351. The Examiner's normal work schedule is

Tuesday through Friday, 6:30 AM to 5:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general or clerical nature (i.e. a

request for a missing form or paper, etc.) should be directed to the Technology Center 2800

receptionist at telephone number (571) 272-1562, to the technical support staff supervisor (Team

8) at telephone number (571) 272-1564, or to the Technology Center 2800 Customer Service

Office at telephone number (571) 272-1626.

John D. Lee

Group Art Unit 2874

Group Art Unit 2874